


the time he files the complaint. *See Goodson v. Kardashian*, 413 F. App'x 417, 419 n.2 (3d Cir. 2011) (per curiam) (citing *Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie*, 239 F.3d 307, 312 (3d Cir. 2001)).

With those principles in mind, in *Garrett v. Murphy*, the Third Circuit concluded that Plaintiff has at least three strikes under § 1915(e). *Garrett v. Murphy*, 17 F.4th 419, 433 (3d Cir. 2021) (“Because we have counted to three, Garrett has struck out, and we need not keep counting.”); *see also, e.g., Garrett v. Murphy*, No. 22-5664, 2022 WL 16552791, at *1 (D.N.J. Oct. 31, 2022) (“Plaintiff has had at least three qualifying dismissals: *Garrett v. Murphy*, No. 20-5235 (D.N.J. May 14, 2020) (dismissed for failure to state a claim); *Garrett v. United States*, No. 18-14515 (D.N.J. Nov. 27, 2018) (dismissed for failure to state a claim); *Garrett v. Mendez*, No. 13-5343 (D.N.J. Aug. 14, 2014) (dismissed for failure to state a claim).”); *Garrett v. United States*, No. 22-5840, 2022 WL 6737728, at *1 (D.N.J. Oct. 11, 2022); *Garrett v. City of Camden*, No. 20-17470, 2022 WL 3646569, at *1 (D.N.J. Aug. 24, 2022).

Accordingly, Plaintiff is a litigant with at least three strikes under § 1915(e). Thus, § 1915(g) precludes him from proceeding *in forma pauperis* in this case unless he alleges facts to show that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. The Complaint does not allege that Plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Instead, the Complaint sues the Judges and the Clerk of the Court of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, and the Judges of the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, Camden Vicinage, and appears to generally allege a denial of access to the courts and a “failure to prosecute.” (D.E. 1, at 4–5.) In terms of relief, Plaintiff seeks the reopening of various cases, to remove Judge Noel L. Hillman from Plaintiff’s cases in the Camden vicinage, and monetary damages. (*Id.* at 5.) Consequently, because the Complaint does not suggest that Plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury, he is not excused from § 1915(g)’s restrictions.

As a result, the Court will not permit Plaintiff to proceed *in forma pauperis* and will administratively terminate this case. Plaintiff shall have an opportunity reopen this action by paying the \$402.00 filing and administrative fees within thirty days.

Dated: 11/9/2022



JOHN MICHAEL VAZQUEZ
United States District Judge